

Covid 19 crisis revealed all the weaknesses and flaws of both global and local response system. As usual, media has not properly addressed any important issue, not even mentioned most of it with due respect and certainly solved none. What are they taught in the faculty of journalism? Only how to glide along the current it seems. Public reaction was divided with some segments of the society refusing to be vaccinated, which was optional for most of the people, and to obey measures of the precaution, which was obligatory for us all.

I am not a medical expert, far from being a one, but I am familiar with methods and procedures of handling the contamination and contagion: I read, I watch, I learn, I know.

1) "Case zero" problem: or "index case" is the first documented patient having the epidemic disease, the first documented patient included in a medical study or the first case who developed a related condition or syndrome. Who was the "case zero"? They didn't say. We don't know still.

2) Face mask purpose: certain individuals referred to a face mask as a muzzle for humans. They insulted those who had it calling them a brainless livestock. Masks weren't introduced at the start of the crisis but at a later point which made government representatives and health officials lose more credibility in the eyes of the public. Since the beginning of the pandemic I wondered why masks aren't introduced immediately. I know masks do not protect from air carried pathogens but they do offer slight protection against catching a disease by prohibiting direct contact with another person's body.

fluids such as sneeze or spit. Yet the most important factor of carrying a face mask was either neglected or not known. What are they taught on the medical studies? The most important factor is of psychological nature and related to the social distance awareness. When you carry a face mask you are constantly aware of a necessity to maintain the social distance. Without a face mask you soon forget, relax and get infected. At my work place we had too many new infections. Why? People refused to wear a face mask due to their personal conviction that an individual has a right to be "savage". They accused us who had a face mask that we are the ones not carrying it while they actually do. This is one of the standard mediocre reply I have encountered when they are justifiably accused of a misdoing.

3) Vaccine efficiency: inoculation is an established medical treatment both for a patients already diagnosed with a disease, therapeutic application, or for healthy people as a mean of precaution, prophylactic application. It is never 100% successful or 100% harmless. What is 100% ever? First vaccine was created back in 18th century by dr. Edward Jenner, although in China the practice has been present much earlier. Dozen of a different vaccines are accepted such as the ones protecting against ebola, influenza, meningitis, mumps, pneumonia, polio, rabies, rotavirus, tetanus, human papillomavirus and smallpox.

We have an interesting paradox I call "collective indecisiveness": in one hand, citizens deserve to be safe when approaching government and public services but, in the other hand, many of them protested against precaution measures set forth in a state offices and facilities.

Other than state and public employees, no one was forced to receive a vaccination.

Public demanded that pharmaceutical industry declare an absolute product efficacy. Anyone of sane mind can not guarantee that any vaccination is perfect. You can only guarantee its highest quality meaning that it is made in total accordance to the protocols of a respected standard involved. Therefore, efficacy and quality are not identical properties. There is a term "pharmaceutical purity". Same applies for a gold exchange too. Nothing is 100%. The best case is 99.99%. I asked protesters do they take any medication on regular basis or occasionally. Some answered positively, more to the later question. Then I asked how safe are those medications used. They didn't know. Do your research first and then tell me what available cure has a maximum benefit without no possible negative side effects.

I never take anything for granted. I decided not simply by saying "yes or no" but did a sufficient research into the matter and weighed the chances. It was more favourable to receive a vaccine than to risk getting infected.

Yes, the Covid 19 vaccine was not systematically investigated. Why? Because we didn't have a luxury of waiting. We needed a prompt response.

Crucial factor which undermines efficacy of an inoculation is that virus evolves, mutates with time. It is not static - it fights for survival. It changes and that is why medicine uses "booster" doses until new, adequate remedy is developed.

Those who received vaccination were threatened. Numerous messages were written with a red colour spray, on the station ground, or with the black marker, inside public transport, stating that "pharmaceutical companies are murderers", "those who get vaccinated should be dealt with" and that we are an experiment animals. They held legal public assemblies shouting how they are forbidden to held a legal public assembly. Their primitive method of an expression (scribbling, insults, menace) proclaims who they are: savages. Often used by malevolent preachers and sect leaders, they will attack, destroy and kill just on the basis of a suspicion or a mere suggestion made by an accepted moral authority.

4) safety (precaution) measures: I remember face masks, social distance and certificates. The later was directly related to the vaccination so any remark against certificates also goes against the specific vaccination itself.

As I previously noted, no vaccination is 100% efficient and safe but those vaccinated reduce the risk of getting infected by a certain percentage. Applying the principle "better anything than nothing" it is obvious that the certificate presented offered higher than normal chance of being healthy.

Another remark made toward the certificates was that vaccinated people carry disease as well. That is partially true. Indeed we do still carry virus but from the moment of a vaccine integration the virus level begins to fall meaning that subject`s transferability proportionally decreases. Logically, the less virus I have the less I am contagious.

Typical mediocre behaviour is to unjustifiably counter-accuse those who justifiably accused them for doing something wrong. For example, we who get vaccinated were counter-accused of being the dangerous ones while those who didn`t get vaccinated were considered by themselves as the benefactors. Insanity par excellence.

Second example I can give is about wearing a face mask. I wore it and reminded a certain person who didn`t wear it but she counter-accused me of an opposite positions.

The main problem arises when those malevolent mediocrities have connections higher above in the hierarchy. I had the situation when a colleague at work, with whom I wasn`t directly related, refused to wash hands after an urination done amidst Corona virus pandemic. I warned him. He was rude, unpolite answering "you do not have to shake my hand if you think it is dirty". Then he complained to his mentors and later they altogether waited for me behind the corner mutually shaking hands in front of me. Soon

I was indicted of a felony I didn't commit. The case is on the court now where I defend myself from the counter-accusations made by a bureaucratic regime fulfilled with mediocrities connected in a network of corruption.

Social distance was occasionally ignored in political and religious circles which made ordinary folks displeased.

5) Clergy contributed to the confusion with an inappropriate press messages since the matter of an internal, secular state affair surely isn't the one for which the Church is responsible for. An expert, medical affair even less. Role of the Church in every secular society is to maintain the spiritual needs of an average people, perform holy ceremonies and to provide logistics for those who, spiritually inspired not just to participate in sacred rituals, want to achieve higher degree of knowledge by formally studying theology.

6) Government officials acted childishly putting vaccines under their bottom and they were confused about a face mask purpose. Some didn't even know how to put a a face mask on. They made foolish objections regarding the packaging having not 5 but 6 doses and agreed to pay more than requested.

7) Certain political representatives, scientists with a religious background and highest rank army officers, out of duty, publicly spread disinformations

about virus origin, vaccination efficacy and openly called for the rebellion against a politically formed, experts lacking, National Civil Protection Headquarters. What a fuss. Active government even declared how the fight is over, how they won the battle against the Corona virus in order to secure their victory at an incoming elections.

Finally,

8) Why China prolonged the statement about a virus outbreak? First symptomatic cases were recorded at the end of 2019. but World Health Organisation declared the Public Health Emergency of International Concern an entire month later at 30/01/2020. which was subsequently transformed into the pandemic at 11/03/2020. Moreover, China didn't send an official notification in a form of e-mail, phone call or sms. They just wrote a status on their website.

9) What a coincidence: in a same area, Wuhan, where virus outbreaked there is a bio lab facility of BSL-4 grade, founded by the Chinese Academy of Sciences, named Institute of Virology, that studied similar coronaviruses for more than a decade. This fact doesn't indicate that virus originated in the lab and escaped, which is hard to believe unless it was intentional or consequence of a terrorist act, but rather that the specific region in and around Wuhan is already (naturally) contaminated. Where do you build an oil drill? Above the oil reservoir.

Before SARS-CoV-2 virus, provisionally named a novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV in 2019., and its associated disease Covid 19, there were similar viruses belonging to the same class (corona) like SARS-CoV-1, lasting from 2002 – 2004, and MERS-CoV, which first case was discovered in 2012.

Etymology: the name "SARS" is abbreviation for the "Severe acute respiratory syndrome" while "MERS" stands for "Middle East respiratory syndrome". Suffix "CoV" is short form of "CoronaVirus". Numbers 1 and 2 are representing an order of appearance.

The interesting thing is a number "19" in an initial, the provisional name given by the WHO which opens new questions.

"First confirmed cases of 2019-nCoV acute respiratory disease were found in Finland, India and Philippines; all had travel history to Wuhan City".

https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200130-sitrep-10-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=d0b2e480_2

None of the public reports include the fact of the Yangtze river vicinity. The third longest river in the world, practically goes through a middle of the Wuhan connecting the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau with the East China coast. River length and placement corresponds to that of the Ming section of the Chinese Great Wall or, approximately, six (6) thousands and few hundred kilometers stretching from the Tanggula Mountains to the Pyongyang. Virus could easily come from a multiple sources along the river, by stream or with a boat cargo, and it could have traveled to a many places up or down the course with further expansion.

Prior to the Covid 19 pandemic, I watched a documentary about Yellow river, Huang He, the second-longest river in China right after the Yangtze... Scattered garbage and corpses floating around, countless bacteria, all sorts of waste, perhaps even toxic components from (bio)chemical industry. They made a sewage channel out of a, once, beautiful watercourse I consider to be spiritual. It connects the Tibetan heights, sacred both to the natives and Chinese, with the Pacific ocean. Symbolically viewed, it is a link between Earth's most elevated peak, Mount Everest, and deepest ocean trench, Mariana, starting at the 8,848.86 m summit (according to the Chinese-Nepali agreement made in 2020. which includes a snow cap on the top) or, as previously measured by India survey in 1954., at the 8,844.43 m summit ending in a vicinity of the Guam island at depth of, close to, eleven (11) kilometers, named Challenger Deep after the British Royal Navy ship HMS Challenger whose expedition in 19th century made the first estimations using rope and compass, primitive instruments for nowadays standards.

10) Director General of World Health Organisation, Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, made this statement in his tweet dated 5/3/2023:

"Yesterday, the #COVID19 Emergency Committee met for the 15th time and recommended to me that I declare an end to the public health emergency of international concern. I have accepted that advice.

With great hope I declare COVID-19 over as a global health emergency."

3:53 pm / 5 May 2023.

https://twitter.com/DrTedros/status/1654484522358939650?ref_src=twsrc%5Etfw%7Ctwcamp%5Etweetembed%7Ctwterm%5E1654484522358939650%7Ctwgr%5E7930c6ac4b2a860ab98025e84353e36af62ccbe3%7Ctwcon%5Es1_&ref_url=https%3A%2F%2Fnews.un.org%2Fen%2Fstory%2F2023%2F05%2F1136367

<https://www.who.int/news/item/05-05-2023-statement-on-the-fifteenth-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-%282005%29-emergency-committee-regarding-the-coronavirus-disease-%28covid-19%29-pandemic>

WHO didn't declare an end of the pandemic. They declared an end of the "public health emergency of international concern" which two is not the same. Pandemic is still ongoing, just officially translated to a lower degree of readiness switching to the long-term management of the disease control.

"COVID-19 is now an established and ongoing health issue which no longer constitutes a public health emergency of international concern (PHEIC)".

11) During 2022. I have read an on-line article written by a certain expert whose name I can not recall just that he was titled as a virologist or an epidemiologist. I don't think that he was introduced as a molecular biologist but let us leave this possibility open as well but the former two are much more probable. Give me a list of all newspaper and scientific articles published in USA and Europe in 2022., with authors names in an alphabetical order, and I think I will remember who was it when I see that specific article again.

He said that SARS-CoV-2 virus will mutate and increase its infectivity on the

account of reducing its potency but that an end of the global crisis is close, a matter of several months. I retold the story to a certain person, a judge, with whom I worked. He took "my" information with reserve, of course. Maybe he will remember that brief event, maybe not, but an important thing, very disturbing, is that world press, especially regional media, didn't mention neither this expert and his opinion nor anything similar, nothing of a sort. Not even the respected knowledge was ever presented. This article was marginalised.

So, I retold a respective prediction, based on earlier experiences and gathered knowledge of virology and epidemiology, accessible to everyone, and... It was exactly how things developed. In a course of the following months, virus became significantly weaker in its effects, reducing its concentration in a lungs, but becoming more contagious, raising its concentration in an upper respiratory tract. This is a typical virus behaviour that every expert must be aware of. Then why no one wrote or said nothing about it? No one except this one author whose text was marginalised.

At the same time, media was full of all kinds of trivial data. Numbers were thrown at us each consecutive day. Numbers that do not concern public at all because numbers alone, without reason, are meaningless. What does it mean, for example, "yesterday there were 1000 new cases and today there is 1001. If we calculate the difference between yesterday and today the result is - one (1)!". Instead of the crucial data, like the one I obtained on-line doing my own research, government and media constantly offered us a simple math which satisfied only an average audience.

Movies about pandemic I recommend:

Contagion (Steven Soderbergh, 2011.)

World War Z (Marc Forster, 2013.)